

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KELLY KOERNER,)	3:11-cv-00116-LRH-VPC
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
v.)	
)	
JAMES GREG COX, <i>et. al.</i> ,)	
)	August 14, 2013
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. COOKE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: LISA MANN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is defendants' motion to strike plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment (#85).¹ Plaintiff did not file an opposition. Defendants ask the court to strike plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment (#82) on the ground that plaintiff filed this document after the time for filing dispositive motions had passed.

A federal court has inherent authority to regulate the conduct of those appearing before it and to manage the administration of its business. *Spurlock v. F.B.I.*, 69 F.3d 1010, 1016 (9th Cir. 1995). The court notes that the deadline for filing dispositive motions in this case was December 14, 2012 (#57). However, plaintiff did not file his cross-motion for summary judgment (#82) until April 11, 2013. Although plaintiff received numerous extensions of time to file his opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment (#73), the court did not grant plaintiff an extension of time to file his own dispositive motion. Accordingly, defendants' motion to strike plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment (#85) is hereby **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk

¹ Refers to the court's docket numbers.

